IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No. 1070 of 2005 (O&M)

Date of decision : November 16, 2007

Sahib Singh

....Appellant

versus

Smt. Bala Devi and others

....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Pritam Pal

Present : Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the appellant

Pritam Pal,J. (Oral)

This regular second appeal has been directed against the judgment and decree dated 18.10.2003 whereby suit for permanent injunction filed by the appellant regarding vacant place marked as ABCD within the Abadi Deh was dismissed. Thereafter appeal filed by the plaintiff has also been dismissed by learned Additional District Judge vide judgment and decree dated 7.10.2004.

Both the courts have given concurrent finding that the plaintiff has failed to prove his possession over the suit property. A perusal of the impugned judgments and decrees goes a long way to show that the findings arrived at by the courts below are not unreasonable or perverse. Learned counsel for the appellant could not make out any substantial question of law for consideration of this Court.

Dismissed in *limine.*

Since the appeal is devoid of any merit, so there is no necessity to pass any order on the application for condonation of delay.

(Pritam Pal)
Judge

November 16, 2007
'dalbir'